Amendments to the Claims

Please amend claims to be as follows.

1. (previously presented) A method for cross-module in-lining, comprising:

in a first phase of a compiling process, the compiling process comprising a front-end phase, an inter-procedural analysis phase in which cross-module analysis is performed on a plurality of modules, and a back-end phase in which the plurality of modules are processed individually, the inter-procedural phase being the first phase,

determining to in-line a first function in a first module into a second function in a second module but not performing said in-line during the first phase;

providing the location of the first function;

providing instructions for in-lining to be performed in a second phase of the compiling process;

in the second phase of the compiling process, the back-end phase being the second phase,

following the instructions to in-line code of the first function into the second function in the second module without accessing the first module.

2. (canceled)

3. (original) The method of claim 1, in the first phase of the compiling process, further having a third function in the module containing the second function.

- 4. (original) The method of claim 3, in the second phase of the compiling process, further getting rid of the third function in the module containing the second function after using that third function to in-line its code into the second function.
- 5. (original) The method of claim 4 wherein the third function being selected from a group consisting of the first function and a clone of the first function.
- 6. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein, in the second phase of the compiling process, in-lining the code of the first function into the second function uses a clone of the first function.
- 7. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein, in the second phase of the compiling process, the code used to be in-lined into the second function is stored in a file.
- 8. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein, in the second phase of the compiling process, the code used to be in-lined into the second function is stored in a library.
- 9. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein the instructions include at least a list of callees to be in-lined and corresponding callers.
- 10. (previously presented) A method for compiling a first set of modules having programming source code, comprising:

in a first phase that represents a front-end phase,

from the first set of modules, providing a second set of modules having first intermediate representations;

in a second phase that represents an inter-procedural phase in which cross-module analysis is performed on the second set of modules,

performing in-line analysis on the second set of modules;

providing instructions for in-lining to be performed in a third phase of the compiling process rather than performing said in-lining during the second phase; and

providing a third set of modules having second intermediate representations optimized from the first intermediate representations;

in the third phase of the compiling process, the third phase representing a back-end phase in which the third set of modules are processed individually,

following the instructions to perform said in-lining in an individual module without needing to access another module, and

providing a fourth set of modules having third intermediate representations optimized from the second intermediate representations.

- 11. (original) The method of claim 10, in the second phase, further using code in the module containing a function caller of a function callee to transform in-lining.
- 12. (original) The method of claim 11 wherein the code being selected from a body of the function callee.
- 13. (original) The method of claim 11 wherein the code being selected from a clone of the function callee.
- 14. (original) The method of claim 10 wherein the instructions include at least one of:

a set of function caller including at least one function caller;

a set of function callee including at least one function callee;

the order for transformation of in-lining;

the location of at least one function callee; and

decisions whether to keep a body of at least one function callee after in-lining transformation.

15. (currently amended) A computer-readable medium embodying a compiler, the eompiler comprising: storing computer-readable instructions and data for compiling a computer program, the computer-readable instructions and data being configured to perform:

a front-end phase for compiling the computer program;

a cross-module analysis phase for compiling the computer program; and

a back-end phase for compiling the computer program;

wherein

the front-end phase invokes the cross-module analysis phase;

the cross-module analysis phase, being configured to process a plurality of modules,

determines whether a callee is to be in-lined into a caller in the backend phase without in-lining the callee into the caller during the cross-module analysis phase;

provides instructions for the back-end phase to transform in-lining code of the callee;

invokes the back-end phase; and

the back-end phase, being configured to process the plurality of modules individually,

transforms the in-lining code in a module based on the instructions without accessing a different module.

- 16. (original) The computer-readable medium of claim 15 wherein the back-end phase further performs tasks related to in-lining.
- 17. (original) The computer-readable medium of claim 16 wherein the tasks related to in-lining include at least deleting the callee in a module containing the caller.
- 18. (original) The computer-readable medium of claim 15 wherein transforming the in-lining code uses code of a clone of the callee.
- 19. (original) The computer-readable medium of claim 15 wherein a call to the callee is in a module that does not include the callee.
- 20 (original) The computer-readable medium of claim 15 wherein the instructions include at least a list of callees.